Replying to Mr. Lacey, Mr. Lawrence denied it had been revised to ground-level to avoid steep slip-roads but had been done to avoid cost of infill and improve amenity.

I then questioned Mr. Eacey and sought assurance that we should be kept informed of progress in the planning of a Milton By-Pass, which he gave. He could give us no date of publication.

Mr. Lacey then made a statement. He said the County Council would support the view that the construction of the Northern By-Pass and the Milton By-Pass concurrently is sensible and would ask that this narrow accounting approach does not serve best the overable or national interest. The revised proposals saved enough to pay for the Milton By-Pass. He considered the Milton By-Pass an accommodation made highly desirable, if not essential, by the construction of the Northern By-Pass.

He thought the link road (near Bene't Farm) barely adequate and there could be a more satisfactory alignment at Bene't Farm without a sharp bend. In reply to this Mr. Lawrence suggested a T-junction just south of Bene't Farm, and inreply to the Inspector caid a T-junction would save several thousand pounds.

Athen thanked the Inspector for his very fair and patient hearing, and Mr. Lawrence and Mr. Lacey, and rested the case.

I attended the final days of the Inquiry to hear the closing speeches and to take the opportunity of having a final discussion with Mr. Lawrence.

He agreed to keep in consultation with the Council and also reddily volunteered to address another Public Meeting, if one were held, when the final interchange and side road plans are published.

K.P.Humphries 7th., July 1972.

The Clerk is indebted to Mr. Nurse for the following Report to whom he wishes to express his thanks.

PARISH COUNCIL MEETING, 25/7/72, in the VILLAGE HALL, at 7.45p.m.

1986 - WASTE LAND COLES RD. (1969) Present: Mr.L.M.Forbes (in the Chair); Mrs.M.Jones, Mrs.B.Waterson, Mr.H.Easy, Mr.P.Kiddle, Mr.R.T.Summerfield, Mr. M.J.D.Nurse. The Clerk sent aplogy for absence due to illness.

Mr. Summerfield presented the account of a meeting between Mr. Cockerall and Mr. Mantz of Cooper Brethers & Co, and Mr. Nurse and himself from the Parish Council. The following points were made on behalf of the Parish Council.

- (i) That the area marked (c) on the map, ref. G.C.8 and forming the gift of land from Gooper Broshers should include the access area to the road in Coles road. Mr. Cocker@ll promised to discuss this with Mr. Cooper and report back on this point.
- (ii) That the areas marked (a) and (b) on the map should be sold to the Parish Council for £250 plus legal fees. This was unlikely to be accepted because as Receivers they were bound to accept the highest offer which was the earlier offer of £360.
- (iii) If the above was not acceptable that area (b) should be sold to the Parish Council for £150 plus legal fees. Mr. Cockerall agreed to forward this proposal to the Receiver and suggested that this should be formally proposed as an offer for areas (b) and (c)

2 Twintock Crown Ref Sc Plain and Margin

| areas | move the legal necessity of defining the boundary between (b) and (c). This was said to be acceptable to the Parish |
|------------------------------|---|
| Counc It that (b) a | il. was proposed by Mr. Kiddle, seconded by Mrs. Jones and agreed if Cooper Brothers agreed to £150 plus legal fees for areas nd (c) that the Parish Council should accept the agreement nitiate the transfer immediately through their solicitors. |
| agree(| was proposed by Mr. Kiddle, seconded by Mrs. Waterson and a that the post of pocket expenses of Messrs Summerfield urse be paid by the Parish Council. |
| | ere being no further business the meeting closed at 8.20 p.m. |
| = Sign e | 5-9.72 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| * | |
| | |
| | |