

Minutes of the Joint Highways / Planning Committee Meeting held on Monday 21 November 2005 at 7.30pm in the Bowls Pavilion

Present: RJ Farrington (chair) JE Coston B Jefferson PK Oldham HM Smith
RT Summerfield RLE Waters
The clerk SJ Daniels

- 457/05 **1 Election of Chairman of Highways Committee – RJF.**
- 2 Apologies for absence - RD, ME.**
- 3 Declarations of Interest – HMS for item 7 (a member of SCDC planning committee).**

458/05 **4 Minutes -** The minutes of the planning meeting of 11 July 2005 and highways meeting of 20 December 2004 were approved and signed as a true record.

(467/04) Pedestrian Crossing – HMS would ask Michael Williamson to pursue the belisha beacon.

(470/04) A10/A14 Roadworks – businesses in the village had reported loss of trade.

459/05 **5 Decisions Received**

(433/05) Retrospective approval under Section 73 A of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 for a waste transfer station involving a change of use from agriculture to the use of land for the importation, storage, handling, processing of waste and the export of re-used and recycled materials including the laying of a hard-standing on land at Long Acre Farm, Chesterton Fen Road, Milton

The Development Control Committee (CCC) was unable to determine the application because the appropriate fee had not been received.

The Committee resolved to indicate that it was minded to refuse planning permission for the following reasons:

1. Contrary to the appropriate location criteria in the Waste Local Plan 2003 - not a preferred site for the provision for waste management facilities as identified by policy WLP 18. Also contrary to the appropriate location criteria in policies WLP21 or WLP22 of the Waste Local Plan.

2. Inappropriate development for the Cambridge Green Belt. Contrary to policy WLP 8 of the Waste Local Plan 2003 and the purposes of the Cambridge Green Belt in policy P9/2a of the Structure Plan 2003. No very special circumstances to warrant making an exception to Green Belt policy.

3. The application site was not accessible to the primary road network. The proposal would increase the number of waste skip lorries and associated heavy commercial vehicles using the local highway network through East Chesterton, which was unsuitable for the purpose. Demonstrable harm to the character and residential amenity of East Chesterton would be caused as a consequence. Contrary, therefore, to policy WLP 4 of the Waste Local Plan 2003.

4. The application site lies within an area prone to flooding. No Flood Risk Assessment submitted. Contrary, therefore, to policies P6/4 of the Structure Plan 2003 and policy WLP16 of the Waste Local Plan 2003.

The Committee also agreed to indicate support for the Head of Strategic Planning to consider taking appropriate enforcement action under agreed delegated powers to include the cessation of the unauthorised waste use and the restoration of the land to agriculture.

6 New Applications

Note: The following applications were unavailable and determined by the clerk and chairman under delegated powers.

- 460/05 (445/05) S/2030/05/A Tesco Store Ltd – signs – amendment to garage – **for information only.**
- 461/05 S/2086/05 CSR Plc (Cambridge Silicon Radio) – land at Chesterton rail Sidings – temporary use of land for car parking – **no recommendation.**
- 462/05 S/2112/05 Cambridge Regional College - 15 metre high wind turbine – **no recommendation.** Is the CRC wind turbine an additional application to that approved [S/2456/04] - and not a re-location? *[Note: re-location]*

463/05 (420/05) **7 Planning Application S/1926/05 Stannifer Developments Ltd – highway improvements to the A10 between A14 interchange and River Great Ouse in the Parishes of Milton, Landbeach and Waterbeach**

Further comments:
 Parish Council would like a clear understanding from SCDC of the legality of this planning application.
 Were the proposals for Milton village a planning application or subject to a negotiated Section 106 Agreement?

The village had a cycle / road scheme which was achieved after consultation with villagers and the County Council. The proposals within application S/1926/05 did not meet fully the needs of Milton village and Council therefore objected to much of what was proposed. If these proposals were granted then Council would expect extensive negotiations and further consultation before the submission of any application for alterations to Milton.

Council was also very concerned about the proposals for improvements to the A10 and the mini roundabout near the Tesco entrance in Cambridge Road, Milton. The plans showing the alterations from this roundabout to the cycle / foot bridge were difficult to understand and insufficient to make any constructive comment.

The meeting ended at 8.30 pm

Chairman.....

Date.....