

**Minutes of the Planning Meeting of Milton Parish Council held on Monday 21 April 2008 at 7.30pm in the Bowls Pavilion**

**Present:** RJ Farrington (chair) RH Chapman JE Coston R Day RLE Waters  
In attendance HM Smith  
The clerk

43/4-08 **1 Apologies for absence** - B Jefferson RT Summerfield Cllr M Williamson

44/4-08 **2 Declarations of interest – personal and prejudicial**  
HM Smith personal – member of SCDC Planning Committee.  
RHC Landfill Site application personal – a neighbour of the site.

45/4-08 **3 Minutes** – the minutes of the meeting of 18 February 2008 were approved and signed as a true record.

**4 Decisions received**

46/4-08 The Phoenix Trust Ltd Unit 8 Milton Trading Estate – portable building for classroom / mess and toilets  
(30/3-08) (renewal of time limited permission S/2439/22/F) – **approved**.  
**Policies:** Cambs Structure Plan 2003 **P1/3**; SCDC LDF 2007**DP/1, DP/2 and DP3**

47/4-08 S Sathiamoorthy Unit 30 Cave Industrial Estate Chesterton Fen Road – change of use to car  
(27/4-08) repairs and MOT centre – **approved**.  
**Policies:** Cambs Structure Plan 2003 **P1/3**; SCDC LDF 2007**DP/1, DP/2, DP3 and TR/2**.

**5 New applications**

48/4-08 S/1339/07 Mr & Mrs S Bowyer 53 Old School Lane – revised roof light design – **for information only**.  
(415/10-07)

49/4-08 C/11/17/72/03 PI Shurlock Milton Hall Various tree works – **no comments** (delegated).  
(31/4-08)

50/4-08 S/0051/08/CW Waste Recycling Group Ltd – variation of Condition 3 of pp S/0289/91 to extend the time for  
(32/4-08) reinstatement of the site to a condition suitable for the resumption of agricultural use to 31 December 2020 –  
**refuse:**

“Milton Parish Council strongly objects to this application.

When an application was considered previously by Cambridgeshire County Council for the extension of timescale **the chairman of the committee agreed that there should be no further extension in the future** and this was why the 25 mile rule was removed. At a future date ‘earlier and later working times’ were also agreed to help speed up the fill rate. This site has already had permission to land raise which is contrary to the policies for the area for natural flat landscape.

We are concerned that this is yet another application to extend the timescale for filling this site when we fully expected it to be at a stage where it was being returned to grassland/ agricultural/ recreational use.

When this landfill site was first proposed we were promised that there would only be a few years of landfill and then the site would be returned to recreational / agricultural use. The current and previous applications to extend the timescales have meant that this blot on the landscape has already remained in use well past the promised original closure date.

**This site should close in 2010** and a revised land form application submitted. We suggest that the land form should be a bank in one direction rather than an ‘L’ shape.

If planning permission is approved we would like to ask for the following conditions:

**1. Working times**

Weekdays - work on site will not start before 7am or continue after 4pm.

Saturdays - work on site will not start before 7am or continue after 12.00noon. Sundays - no working.

**Reason:** Permission was given to extend the hours of working only so that the site could be filled by 2010.

**2. Distance Waste travels to site**

Site should have the same distance restrictions as other local sites.

**Reason:** Permission was given for the site to collect waste from further away only so that the site could be filled by 2010.

**3. Timetable for returning land to agricultural / recreational use**

A clear timetabled plan should be submitted as part of the planning permission showing the timescales for returning the land in stages as soon as possible to recreational and agricultural use.

**Reason:** From the time the landfill was first talked about there were promises of making the area available for recreational and agricultural use as sections were completed. Had these promises been kept we would have had some recreational / agricultural use of the site. At the time of the last planning permission we were promised a perimeter footpath and this should have been in place by now. There now seems little recollection by the developers of these promises and we therefore ask that these be mentioned as being part of the planning permission with timescales.

**4. Further extension of the site**

No further extensions of working area will be allowed.

**Reason:** We note that the applicant owns adjoining land to the south of the site and would like to be assured that the landfill will not be allowed to expand into this area in the future.

**5. Traffic movements**

Consideration must be given to pedestrians and cyclists using the Park & Ride site. We are very concerned about the direct conflict of interest between HGV's using the landfill site and pedestrian and cyclists and the serious dangers posed.

We are also concerned about the congestion caused by the increase in traffic.

**6. Soil contaminants and waste**

A pressurised wheel wash must be a condition of any permission.

**Reason:** To prevent soil contaminants and waste being spread throughout the Park & Ride site.

Finally a representative of Milton Parish Council wishes to speak at the County Council committee meeting. Please advise the date and time of the meeting and how long we shall be allowed to speak."

51/4-08 C/6/9/1A Edmund Nuttall Ltd – Guided Busway - Discharge of condition No. 3(a)(i) – design and appearance of Cambridge Science Park stop – **no comments.**

52/4-08 S/0457/08 Mr & Mrs Jefford Greengates Chesterton Fen Road – replacement dwelling and extension to garden – **no recommendation.**

53/4-08 S/0549/08/A P Shenton Village Vet 26 Cambridge Road – signs (retrospective application) – **“refuse the sign by the hedge – obtrusive and unnecessary addition to the sign on the house about which we make no recommendation.”**

54/4-08 S/0592/08 Ms R Davey 63 Cambridge Road – conversion of garage to annexe **“If this is intended to be a separate dwelling then we object. I refer to application S/1809/01:** ‘Land r/o 63 Cambridge Road bungalow – **refused.** Back-land development out of character with the surrounding area. The bungalow would be sited in a poor environment suffering noise and disturbance from its commercial neighbours and causing noise and disturbance to its residential neighbour. The proposed access for the bungalow would run between and in close proximity to the two proposed houses. Further noise and disturbance would occur through the use of the proposed access to the new properties either side of the access. Contrary to Policy SP12/10 of the Structure Plan 1995, Policy H15 of the South Cambs Local Plan 1993 and Policy H16A of the South Cambs Deposit Local Plan 1999.’

**If however it is ancillary to and part of the house we make no recommendation.”**

The meeting ended at 8.45pm.

Signed.....

Date.....